# Holland Independent School District Holland High School 2024-2025 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: A



# **Mission Statement**

The Holland Independent School District, in partnership with parents and community, will provide a quality education for all students, empowering them to pursue productive and fulfilling lives in an ever-changing, interdependent world.

# Vision

All students feel safe, engaged hourly, respected by everyone, and encouraged to develop to their fullest potential.

## Value Statement

Holland ISD's core beliefs are:

Decisions should be based on what is best for the student(s). Caring relationships (teacher/student, teacher/parent, school/community, etc.) are the key to success. Improvement can always be made. Servant leadership will help maintain our culture. Small class sizes positively impact student success. All children can succeed. All children should be given opportunities to play sports, belong to organizations, and experience the world.

### **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 4
Demographics
Student Learning
School Processes & Programs
Perceptions
Priority Problem Statements

Goals	. 24
Goal 1: Maintain rigorous standards of achievement to prepare 21st century learners for graduation and post-secondary success.	. 24
Goal 2: Ensure a safe and supportive environment conducive to teaching and learning for all students and staff.	. 29
Goal 3: Engage parents, families, and community members in Holland ISD's events and programs and students' learning.	. 31
Goal 4: Engage in transparent, timely communication with all stakeholders to build positive, supportive relationships.	. 32
Goal 5: Ensure that our instructional programs including but not limited to Title 1, Special Education, Dyslexia, Section 504, State Compensatory Education, English as a	
Second Language, Migrant Program, Career and Technical Education, and Gifted and Talented, meet all students' needs and meet program expectations.	. 33
Policies, Procedures, and Requirements	. 37

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

### Demographics

#### **Demographics Summary**

Holland High School is a 9th - 12th grade campus that currently serves approximately 185 students in the rural community of Holland, Texas.

The CIP is available for review in the front office of Holland High School. It is also available on the district website in both English and Spanish.

### **Student Demographics**

In the 2023-24 school year, Holland High School had an enrollment of approximately 187 students, compared to 193 students in the 2022-23 school year.

Below is a breakdown of student enrollment, student ethnicity, and special programs at HHS from the 2022-23 school year. This data was taken from the 2022-23 TAPR, which has been included as an addendum to this plan.

		Membership			Enrollment			
	Car	npus			Can	npus		
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State
Grade 9	46	23.8%	7.3%	8.7%	46	23.8%	7.3%	8.7%
Grade 10	50	25.9%	7.9%	7.9%	50	25.9%	7.9%	7.9%
Grade 11	47	24.4%	7.4%	7.0%	47	24.4%	7.4%	7.0%
Grade 12	50	25.9%	7.9%	6.6%	50	25.9%	7.9%	6.6%

		Mem	bership		Enrollment			
	Cam	pus			Carr	npus		
Student Information	Count I	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State
Ethnic Distribution:								
African American	7	3.6%	2.4%	12.8%	7	3.6%	2.4%	12.8%
Hispanic	57	29.5%	26.8%	53.0%	57	29.5%	26.8%	52.9%
White	126	65.3%	67.8%	25.6%	126	65.3%	67.8%	25.7%
American Indian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Asian	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Two or More Races	3	1.6%	3.0%	3.0%	3	1.6%	3.0%	3.0%
Sex:								
Female	84	43.5%	47.3%	48.8%	84	43.5%	47.3%	48.8%
Male	109	56.5%	52.7%	51.2%	109	56.5%	52.7%	51.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	44.0%	41.2%	62.1%	85	44.0%	41.2%	62.0%
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	108	56.0%		37.9%	108	56.0%		38.0%
Section 504 Students	37	19.2%		7.4%		19.2%		7.4%
EB Students/EL	6	3.1%	3.3%	23.1%	6	3.1%	3.3%	23.0%
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2021-22)	5	2.3%	_	1.5%				

	Membership				Enrollment				
	Car	npus			Car	npus			
Student Information	Count	Percent	District	State	Count	Percent	District	State	
Students w/ Dyslexia	18	9.3%	8.7%	5.5%	18	9.3%	8.7%	5.5%	
Foster Care	1	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	1	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	
Homeless	2	1.0%	0.3%	1.3%	2	1.0%	0.3%	1.3%	
Immigrant	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	
Migrant	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	
Title I	6	3.1%	70.2%	64.6%	6	3.1%	70.2%	64.6%	
Military Connected	2	1.0%	1.6%	3.6%	2	1.0%	1.6%	3.6%	
At-Risk	61	31.6%	32.2%	53.3%	61	31.6%	32.2%	53.3%	
Students by Instructional Program:									
Bilingual/ESL Education	6	3.1%	3.3%	23.2%	6	3.1%	3.3%	23.2%	
Career and Technical Education	165	85.5%	31.9%	26.5%	165	85.5%	31.9%	26.5%	
Career and Technical Education (9-12 grades only)	165	85.5%	85.5%	72.3%	165	85.5%	85.5%	72.2%	
Gifted and Talented Education	19	9.8%	7.1%	8.2%	19	9.8%	7.1%	8.2%	
Special Education	24	12.4%	13.4%	12.6%	24	12.4%	13.4%	12.7%	
Mobility (2021-22):									
Total Mobile Students	21	9.5%	8.3%	16.8%					
By Ethnicity: African American	0	0.0%	0.3%	3.3%					
Hispanic	5	2.3%	2.9%	8.7%					
White	16	7.3%	4.9%	3.4%					
American Indian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%					
Asian	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%					
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Two or More Races	0	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%					
Count and Percent of Special Ed Students who are Mobile	3	10.7%	9.8%	18.6%					
Count and Percent of EB Students/EL who are Mobile	0	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%					
Count and Percent of Econ Dis Students who are Mobile	14	13.3%	11.5%	18.7%					
Student Attrition (2021-22):									
Total Student Attrition	23	14.3%	13.6%	18.1%					
		-							

EB Students had the largest change from the 2022-23 school year to the 2023-24 school year with a 50% increase.

	Campus Count	Campus Percent		State Count
Graduates (2021-22 Annual Gradu	uates)			
Total Graduates	50	100.0%	50	368,686
By Ethnicity:				
African American	1	2.0%	1	45,227
Hispanic	15	30.0%	15	191,125
White	33	66.0%	33	103,171
American Indian	0	0.0%	0	1,159
Asian	0	0.0%	0	18,794
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	569
Two or More Races	1	2.0%	1	8,641
By Graduation Type:				
Minimum H.S. Program	0	0.0%	0	433
Recommended H.S. Program/Distinguished Achievement Program	0	0.0%	0	134
Foundation H.S. Program (No Endorsement)	1	2.0%	1	51,023
Foundation H.S. Program (Endorsement)	1	2.0%	1	14,179
Foundation H.S. Program (DLA)	48	96.0%	48	302,917

				African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ	
	State	District	Campus	American	Hispanic				Islander			Disadv	EB/EL
Attendance Rate													
2021-22	92.2%	94.9%	94.4%	96.9%	94.9%	93.9%	-	-	-	•	93.8%	92.7%	•
2020-21	95.0%	96.0%	95.8%	95.4%	95.7%	95.9%	-	-	-	•	96.1%	93.9%	•
Chronic Absenteeism													
2021-22	25.7%	15.1%	19.8%	0.0%	17.6%	22.3%	-	-	-	•	14.8%	27.1%	•
2020-21	15.0%	10.1%	10.6%	0.0%	8.7%	12.0%	-	-	-	•	9.1%	16.7%	•
Annual Dropout Rate (	Gr 7-8)												
2021-22	0.7%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2020-21	0.9%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Annual Dropout Rate (	Gr 9-12	)											
2021-22	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•
2020-21	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	•

Academic				African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ	
Year	State	District	Campus	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	EB/EL
							nd Military nt Achieve						
College, Ca	areer. or	Military R	eadv (An	nual Gradu		3 (31000	in Achieve	inenty					
2021-22	70.0%				73.3%	69.7%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	61.5%	-
2020-21	65.2%				85.7%	61.1%	-	-		-	100.0%	75.0%	
						College Gradu							
College Re	ady (Anr	ual Grad	uates)										
2021-22	52.9%			•	60.0%	57.6%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	42.3%	-
2020-21	52.7%	56.9%	56.9%	•	78.6%	50.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	65.0%	-
TSI Criteria	Gradua	tes in Eng	glish Lang	guage Arts	(Annual G	Graduates	5)						
2021-22	57.1%	64.0%	64.0%	•	60.0%	63.6%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	46.2%	-
2020-21	56.1%	74.5%	74.5%	•	71.4%	77.8%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	80.0%	-
TSI Criteria	Gradua	tes in Ma	thematics	(Annual G	iraduates)								
2021-22	48.2%	46.0%	46.0%	•	46.7%	45.5%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	30.8%	-
2020-21	45.7%	47.1%	47.1%	•	42.9%	50.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	50.0%	-
TSI Criteria	a Gradua	tes in Bot	th Subject	ts (Annual	Graduates	5)							
2021-22	42.2%	44.0%	44.0%	•	46.7%	42.4%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	26.9%	-
2020-21	40.4%	47.1%	47.1%	•	42.9%	50.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	50.0%	-
AP / IB Met	t Criteria	in Any Se	ubject (Ar	nual Grad	uates)								
2021-22	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2020-21	21.3%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Associate	Degree (/	Annual G	raduates)										
2021-22	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2020-21	2.6%				0.070	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-
Dual Cours	se Credit	s in Any S	Subject (A	nnual Grad	duates)								
2021-22	24.0%	46.0%	46.0%	•	53.3%	42.4%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	26.9%	-
2020-21	25.9%	47.1%	47.1%	•	78.6%	36.1%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	55.0%	-
Onramps O	Course C	redits (Ar	nual Gra	duates)									
2021-22	4.4%			•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	-
2020-21	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	-

					Car	eer / Milit Gradua	ary Ready ates						
Career or I	Military Re	ady (Anr	nual Gradu	uates)									
2021-22	33.5%	12.0%	12.0%	•	13.3%	12.1%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	19.2%	
2020-21	24.2%	9.8%	9.8%	•	7.1%	11.1%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	10.0%	
Approved	Approved Industry-Based Certification (Annual Graduates)												
2021-22	28.0%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
2020-21	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	
Graduates	with Leve	I I or Lev	el II Certif	icate (Ann	ual Gradu	lates)							
2021-22	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	•	0.0%	0.0%	
2020-21	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	
Graduate v	with Comp	leted IEP	and Wor	kforce Rea	diness (A	nnual Gra	aduates)						
2021-22	2.5%	6.0%	6.0%	•	0.0%	9.1%	-	-	-	•	50.0%	11.5%	
2020-21	2.4%	9.8%	9.8%	•	7.1%	11.1%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	10.0%	
Graduates	Under an	Advance	d Diplom	a Plan and	Identified	l as a Cur	rent Speci	ial Educa	tion Stud	ent (Annu	al Gradua	ites)	
2021-22	5.0%	12.0%	12.0%	•	13.3%	12.1%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	19.2%	
2020-21	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	•	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	

### Staff demographics

Below is the breakdown of staff assignments, staff ethnicities, years of experience, and teacher/student ratio at HMS for the 2022-23 school year. This information was extracted from the 2022-23 TAPR, which has been included as an addendum to this plan.

	Campus	s		
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Total Staff	24.7	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Professional Staff:	22.7	91.9%	60.8%	64.19
Teachers	22.7	91.6%	53.6%	48.79
Professional Support	0.1	0.4%	4.1%	10.99
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	0.0	0.0%	1.9%	3.39
Educational Aides:	2.0	8.1%	12.1%	11.39
Total Minority Staff:	2.0	8.1%	21.7%	53.2%
Teachers by Ethnicity:				
African American	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	11.89
Hispanic	2.0	8.8%	10.6%	29.69
White	20.7	91.2%	87.9%	54.9%
American Indian	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.39
Asian	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	2.09
Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.19
Two or More Races	0.0	0.0%	1.5%	1.29
Teachers by Sex:				
Males	8.8	38.7%	21.2%	24.49
Females	13.9	61.3%	78.8%	75.69
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:				
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	2.09
Bachelors	17.7	78.3%	88.8%	72.29
Masters	3.9	17.3%	9.7%	25.09
Doctorate	1.0	4.4%	1.5%	0.89
Teachers by Years of Experience:				
Beginning Teachers	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	9.79
1-5 Years Experience	2.0	8.8%	9.1%	26.39
6-10 Years Experience	7.2	32.0%	30.3%	20.5%
11-20 Years Experience	7.5	33.1%	30.5%	27.29
21-30 Years Experience	4.0	17.7%	21.2%	13.3
Over 30 Years Experience	1.9	8.4%	8.9%	2.9%
Holland High School Generated by Plan4Learning.com				10 o

	Campus			
Staff Information	Count/Average	Percent	District	State
Number of Students per Teacher	8.5	n/a	9.6	14.8

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Our school is fortunate to have a highly experienced teaching staff, with nearly 60% of our educators possessing over 11 years of experience in the field. This wealth of experience translates into a deep understanding of pedagogical strategies and classroom management techniques, which greatly benefits our students.

Our school boasts a mobility rate of 9.5%, which is significantly lower than the state's average of 16.8%. This indicates a stable student population, allowing for continuity in education and fostering a strong sense of community among students, teachers, and parents.

Our school prides itself on maintaining a low student-to-teacher ratio, with an average of 8.5 students per teacher. This allows for more personalized attention and tailored instruction, ensuring that each student receives the support they need to thrive academically. In comparison to the state's average of 14.8 students per teacher, our smaller class sizes facilitate a more engaging and interactive learning environment, where teachers can focus on individual student needs and foster a deeper understanding of the curriculum.

#### Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our teachers and EB parents need more support. Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the States

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our attendance rate was 94.4%, which is below our goal of 97%. Root Cause: Chronic absenteeism increased.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the TSIA2 test.

### **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

2023-2024 STAAR/EOC scores and state comparisons are below.

Scores below state average				
Scores at or above state average				
Scores at least 10 percent above state average				
Scores at least 20 points above state average				
		2023-2024 STAA	R/EOC Scores	
	Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
English 1	9%	91%	78%	13%
State Avg	33%	67%	54%	17%
English 2	7%	93%	83%	7%
State Avg	26%	74%	60%	9%
Algebra 1-HS	0%	100%	69%	24%
State Avg	21%	79%	45%	25%
Biology	0%	100%	80%	23%
State Avg	9%	91%	58%	19%
US History	0%	100%	82%	46%
State Avg	5%	95%	69%	37%

#### 2021-2022 & 2022-2023 STAAR/EOC Performance - Data taken from the 2022-23 TAPR.

											The				Non		EB/EL
	School				African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special Ed	Special Ed		Non- Continu-	Econ	(Current
			District	Campus		Hispanic	White			Pacific Islander				ously Enrolled	ously Enrolled		Monitored)
								Grade, Su					( ,			2.244	
End of Course English I								0.000, 00									
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2023	72%	91%	91%	•	80%	95%	-	-	-	•	57%	•	95%	80%	83%	
Above	2022	65%	77%	77%	•	86%	76%	-		-	*	10%	•	79%	74%	70%	,
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2023	52%	77%	77%	•		85%	-	-	-		14%	•	89%	47%	67%	1
	2022	47%	56%	56%	•	67%	51%	-	-	-	. *	0%	•	60%	48%	49%	
At Masters Grade Level	2023	13%	28%	28%	•	10%	36%	-	-	-	. *	0%	•	34%	13%	17%	
	2022	11%	13%	13%	•	5%	18%	-	-	-	. *	0%	•	17%	4%	5%	
End of Course English II																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2023	74%	87%	87%	•	93%	86%	-	-	-	•	33%	•	95%	62%	89%	
	2022	72%	79%	79%	•	84%	75%	-	-	-	-	36%	•	79%	82%	72%	•
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2023	54%	78%	78%	•	79%	81%	-	-	-	. *	33%	•	88%	46%	82%	•
	2022	55%	66%	66%	•	68%	66%	-	-	-	-	18%	•	67%	64%	50%	•
At Masters Grade Level	2023	9%	22%	22%	•	14%	28%	-	-	-	. *	0%	•	24%	15%	11%	
	2022	9%	6%	6%	•	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	0%	•	5%	9%	0%	
End of Course Algebra I																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2023	79%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	•	•	•	100%	100%	100%	•
	2022	76%	97%	96%	•	94%	97%	-	-	-	. *	75%	•	94%	100%	93%	•
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2023	43%	84%	81%	-	75%	85%	-	-	-	. *	•	•	81%	78%	68%	•
	2022	43%	70%	64%	•	63%	64%	-	-	-	. *	25%	•	65%	63%	63%	•
At Masters Grade Level	2023	23%	56%	56%	-	38%	65%	-	-	-	. *	•	•	63%	33%	37%	
	2022	27%	43%	36%	•	38%	36%	-	-	-	. *	13%	•	35%	37%	33%	•
End of Course Biology																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2023	88%	96%	96%	•	100%	94%	-	-	-	•	•	•	95%	100%	100%	
	2022	83%	89%	89%	•	88%	90%	-	-	-	-	63%	•	92%	78%	77%	
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2023	56%	79%	79%	•	85%	81%	-	-	-	•	•	•	82%	67%	92%	•
	2022	55%	72%	72%	•	81%	69%	-	-	-	-	38%	•	74%	67%	62%	
At Masters Grade Level	2023	21%	27%	27%	•	31%	26%	-	-	-	•	•	•	31%	11%	32%	
	2022	21%	21%	21%	•	19%	24%	-	-	-	-	0%	•	24%	11%	8%	
End of Course U.S. History																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2023	94%	98%	98%	•	100%	96%	-	-	-	-	83%	•	97%	100%	100%	
	2022	89%	94%	94%	•	91%	96%	-	-	-	-	83%	-	98%	75%	86%	
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2023	70%	83%	83%	•	88%	79%	-	-	-	-	17%	•	79%	92%	64%	
	2022	68%	82%	82%	•	77%	88%	-	-	-	-	17%	-	83%	75%	68%	
At Masters Grade Level	2023	38%	46%	46%	•	44%	50%	-	-	-	-	17%	•	47%	42%	29%	
	2022	42%	65%	65%	•	55%	77%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	63%	75%	41%	•

#### **STAAR/EOC Progress - Annual Growth - Data taken from the 2022-23 TAPR.**

	School Year		District	Campus	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Special Ed (Current)	Ed	Continu- ously Enrolled	ously	Econ	EB/EL (Current & Monitored)
					School	Progress	- Annu	al Growth	by Gra	ade and S	iubject						
End of Course English I	2023	57%	69%	69%	-	50%	75%	-	-	-	*	*	-	76%	36%	72%	*
End of Course English II	2023	74%	88%	88%	*	89%	89%		-	-	*	*	*	92%	75%	91%	*
End of Course Algebra I	2023	76%	89%	92%	-	100%	90%	-	-	-	*	*	-	93%	92%	94%	*
All Grades Both Subjects	2023	64%	71%	83%	*	81%	84%	-	-	-	80%	67%	*	87%	68%	86%	70%
All Grades ELA/Reading	2023	63%	65%	79%	*	75%	82%	-	-	-	*	56%	*	84%	61%	83%	*
All Grades Mathematics	2023	66%	78%	92%	-	100%	90%	-	-	-	*	*	-	93%	92%	94%	*

			2023-202	24 STAAR/E	OC Specia	l Populatio	n Scores			
English 1	Eco. Dis Yes	16% (42%)	84% (58%)	63% (43%)	5% (9%)	SpEd - Yes	33% (70%)	67% (30%)	50% (16%)	0% (2%)
	Eco. Dis No	4% (18%)	96% (82%)	89% (73%)	19% (30%)	SpEd No	5% (28%)	95% (72%)	83% (59%)	15% (19%)
English 2	Eco. Dis Yes	12% (33%)	88% (67%)	65% (49%)	6% (4%)	SpEd - Yes	40% (62%)	60% (38%)	60% (19%)	0% (1%)
	Eco. Dis No	3% (14%)	97% (86%)	93% (76%)	7% (16%)	SpEd No	2% (22%)	98% (78%)	85% (64%)	7% (10%)
		Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters		Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
Algebra 1- HS	Eco. Dis Yes	0% (26%)	100% (74%)	82% (35%)	29% (17%)	SpEd - Yes	0% (48%)	100% (52%)	33% (14%)	17% (5%)
115	Eco. Dis No	0% (12%)	100% (88%)	57% (61%)	19% (39%)	SpEd No	0% (17%)	100% (83%)	75% (49%)	25% (28%)
		Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters		Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
Biology	Eco. Dis Yes	0% (13%)	100% (87%)	65% (46%)	15% (10%)	SpEd - Yes	0% (26%)	100% (74%)	33% (22%)	0% (4%)
	Eco. Dis No	0% (5%)	100% (95%)	88% (74%)	27% (32%)	SpEd No	0% (7%)	100% (93%)	85% (62%)	26% (21%)
		Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters		Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
US History	Eco. Dis Yes	0% (6%)	100% (94%)	74% (60%)	35% (26%)	SpEd - Yes	0% (17%)	100% (83%)	60% (32%)	40% (11%)
	Eco. Dis No	0% (2%)	100% (98%)	89% (82%)	56% (51%)	SpEd No	0% (3%)	100% (97%)	84% (73%)	47% (40%)

#### 2023-2024 STAAR Performance - Economically Disadvantage and Special Education

#### 2023-2024 TELPAS Data on Composite Scores

No students were exited from ESL and placed on monitor status.

Beginn	ing Interm	ediate Adva	nced Advanced High
0	2	5	2

#### CCMR Graduate Data from 2023-2024 - Local Data Tracker

CCMR Graduate	41 students / 87%
Dual Credit	19 students / 46%
Passed TSIA2	23 students/ 50%

#### CCMR Graduate Data from 2022-2023 TAPR

Academic Year	State	Region 12	District	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	EB/EL
	College, Career, and Military Ready Graduates (Student Achievement)												
College, C	areer, or	Military R	eady (An	nual Gradu	uates)								
2021-22	70.0%	69.7%	72.0%	•	73.3%	69.7%	-	-	-	•	100.0%	61.5%	-
2020-21	65.2%	64.1%	66.7%	•	85.7%	61.1%	-	-	-	-	100.0%	75.0%	-

#### Average Class Sizes from 2022-2023 TAPR

				Secondary:			
				English/Language Arts	6.8	7.7	16.2
				Foreign Languages	11.9	11.9	18.8
				Mathematics	8.9	10.2	17.5
Class Size				Science	9.0	10.1	18.5
Information	Campus	District	State	Social Studies	9.3	10.2	18.9

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

Our school takes immense pride in the fact that 100% of our students have successfully passed the End-of-Course (EOC) assessments in Algebra I, Biology, and US History. This achievement is a testament to the dedication and hard work of both our students and our teaching staff.

Our school prides itself on maintaining smaller class sizes, with an average range of 7 to 12 students per class. This allows for a more personalized and focused educational experience, where teachers can give individual attention to each student, catering to their unique learning needs and styles. In comparison to the state's average class size of 16 to 19 students, our approach fosters a more intimate and engaging classroom environment, promoting better student-teacher interactions and enhancing overall academic performance.

#### Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our teachers and EB parents need more support. Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the States

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the TSIA2 test.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): At the "masters" performance level on the EOC tests, we were at or below the state level. Root Cause: We have not been focusing on this specific subgroup for improvement.

### **School Processes & Programs**

#### School Processes & Programs Summary

At our campus, we prioritize the regular review of data to identify students who may benefit from a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS). This systematic approach ensures that we can promptly address the needs of students who require additional support.

To assist struggling students, we have implemented a comprehensive support system that includes classroom aides, small group targeted instruction, tutorials, and dedicated intervention time built into the individual student's schedule. These resources are designed to provide personalized and effective assistance to help students succeed.

Each year, HISD organizes training sessions focused on emergent bilingual students, ensuring that our educators are adept at supporting students who are learning English as an additional language. Additionally, we provide specialized training in Special Education, enabling our staff to meet the diverse needs of students with disabilities effectively. We also prioritize training in Dyslexia, equipping our teachers with the skills to identify and support students with this learning difference. Our Gifted and Talented training ensures that we can challenge and nurture students who exhibit exceptional abilities. Furthermore, we emphasize health and safety training to create a secure and supportive learning environment for all students.

Holland ISD offers counseling services for both students and staff through partnerships with STARRY and Impact Counseling. These services provide essential emotional and psychological support, fostering a healthy and supportive school environment.

To enhance learning and ensure equitable access to technology, we provide one-to-one Chromebooks. This initiative supports digital literacy and prepares our students for the future.

As part of our commitment to maintaining effective communication and organization, our administrators convene on a weekly basis to update calendars, plan for upcoming events, and address any issues that may arise. This ensures that our school operations run smoothly and that we are prepared for any challenges.

In addition, the high school principal keeps his staff well-informed by sending out newsletters as needed. These newsletters provide important updates, highlight achievements, and share relevant information to support our educators in their roles.

We prioritize clear and consistent communication with parents through various platforms, including Remind, emails, Facebook posts, the district website, a monthly newsletter, and phone calls. This multi-faceted approach ensures that parents are always in the loop regarding their children's education and school activities.

Holland High School is proud to offer a wide range of extra-curricular activities to our students. These include football, volleyball, track, cross country, basketball, baseball, softball, powerlifting, golf, student council, academic UIL, FFA, FCCLA, and NHS. These programs provide students with opportunities to develop their skills, pursue their interests, and engage in meaningful experiences outside the classroom.

Holland High School has made significant investments in enhancing the safety and security of our campuses. We have installed advanced door locks to ensure that only authorized individuals can access our buildings, and we have upgraded our surveillance system with high-definition cameras to monitor activity around the clock. Additionally, we have implemented silent panic button technology to provide immediate alerts to law enforcement in case of emergencies. Our campus vestibule has been redesigned to control and monitor visitor access more effectively. We have also employed a School Resource Officer (SRO) to maintain a visible security presence and foster a safe learning environment. Furthermore, we have upgraded our fencing to create a more secure perimeter around our school grounds.

Our athletic director is implementing a social and emotional learning (SEL) program with our student athletes.

At our school, we place a high value on our employees by providing them with professional development opportunities that enhance their skills and support their career growth. We recognize the dedication and commitment of our staff by offering longevity pay, which acknowledges their continued service and loyalty to our institution. Throughout the year, we make it a priority to show appreciation for our employees' hard work and contributions, ensuring they feel valued and recognized. Additionally, we understand the importance of family and personal time, and we strive to create a supportive environment that respects and values the family commitments of our staff, allowing them to maintain a healthy work-life balance.

When planning activities and events, we are mindful of the amount of instructional time they may take away from our students. We strive to protect this valuable instructional time by meticulously planning and organizing events in a manner that minimizes disruption to the academic schedule.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

We have a strong MTSS in place that ensures we can promptly address the needs of students who require additional support.

Our students are encouraged to take part in a wide range of extracurricular activities, which helps them become well-rounded individuals.

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our attendance rate was 94.4%, which is below our goal of 97%. Root Cause: Chronic absenteeism increased.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Our teachers and EB parents need more support. Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the States

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): At the "masters" performance level on the EOC tests, we were at or below the state level. Root Cause: We have not been focusing on this specific subgroup for improvement.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the TSIA2 test.

**Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized):** 70% of the parents reported timely and helpful communication from the teachers and campus. **Root Cause:** There is not one place to go and get all the information. Our district webpage needs to be redone. Game times often are changed with short notice.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): Students do not feel like our safety drills cover all possible locations where they may be when an event happens. Root Cause: Not conducting drills at various times of the day.

### Perceptions

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Our end-of-year student survey revealed that a significant majority, at least 85%, of our students feel safe within the school environment. This statistic is a testament to the dedication and care our staff provide, as students also reported feeling that the staff genuinely cares about their well-being. Additionally, it is heartening to know that students have identified at least one adult in the school with whom they feel comfortable discussing their concerns or problems, indicating strong, supportive relationships between students and staff.

However, the survey also highlighted areas needing improvement. Students expressed that they do not feel adequately prepared for emergencies through our current drill procedures. This feedback is crucial as it underscores the need for us to review and enhance our emergency preparedness protocols to ensure that all students feel confident and secure in their ability to respond to potential emergencies. Furthermore, the survey indicated a lack of awareness among students regarding the counseling options available to them. This points to a need for better communication and education about the mental health resources and support systems we have in place, ensuring that every student knows how to access the help they need.

Our end-of-the-year student survey revealed that students perceive our staff as friendly, which is a testament to the welcoming and supportive environment we strive to create. Additionally, students recognize that our staff maintains high expectations, pushing them to achieve their best, and they appreciate the hard work our educators put in to ensure their success.

However, the survey also highlighted areas for improvement. Students feel that communication from teachers could be enhanced, suggesting a need for more consistent and clear interactions regarding academic progress and expectations. Furthermore, feedback indicated that the district website requires improvement, pointing to a need for a more user-friendly and informative online resource for students and parents alike.

Our end-of-the-year parent survey revealed that parents appreciate the high standards our teachers set for students, recognizing the dedication and commitment our educators have toward ensuring student success. It is gratifying to know that parents feel their children's needs are being met, which is a testament to the hard work and dedication of our teaching staff.

However, the survey also highlighted areas where we need to improve. Parents expressed concerns about the lack of communication from both teachers and the school, indicating a need for better information dissemination. Additionally, there is a clear gap in parents' understanding of high school topics and processes, which suggests that we need to provide more resources and guidance in this area. Furthermore, parents indicated that they are unaware of the tutoring options available, pointing to a need for better communication and promotion of these support services.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Parents and students believe that our staff establishes high expectations for the students and genuinely cares about them.

Our students feel safe while at school.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** 70% of the parents reported timely and helpful communication from the teachers and campus. **Root Cause:** There is not one place to go and get all the information. Our district webpage needs to be redone. Game times often are changed with short notice.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students do not feel like our safety drills cover all possible locations where they may be when an event happens. Root Cause: Not conducting drills at various times of the day.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 1: Our teachers and EB parents need more support.Root Cause 1: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the StatesProblem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: Our attendance rate was 94.4%, which is below our goal of 97%.
Root Cause 2: Chronic absenteeism increased.
Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 3: Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%.
Root Cause 3: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the TSIA2 test.
Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics - Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 4: At the "masters" performance level on the EOC tests, we were at or below the state level.Root Cause 4: We have not been focusing on this specific subgroup for improvement.Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning - School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: 70% of the parents reported timely and helpful communication from the teachers and campus.Root Cause 5: There is not one place to go and get all the information. Our district webpage needs to be redone. Game times often are changed with short notice.Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

Problem Statement 6: Students do not feel like our safety drills cover all possible locations where they may be when an event happens.Root Cause 6: Not conducting drills at various times of the day.Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs - Perceptions

# Goals

Goal 1: Maintain rigorous standards of achievement to prepare 21st century learners for graduation and post-secondary success.

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the overall attendance rate from 94.4% to 97% by May 2025.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance reports; Resources used to educate parents; Incentive program reports

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews					
Strategy 1: Implement an attendance tracking system to identify students with chronic absenteeism and provide timely		Formative		Summative				
interventions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Chronic absenteeism will decrease Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers, Attendance Secretary	Nov	Feb	Apr	June				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - School Processes & Programs 1								
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews					
Strategy 2: Engage parents and guardians through regular communication about the importance of attendance and provide		Formative		Summative				
resources to help them support their child's attendance.	Nov	Feb Apr		June				
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance will increase</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Director of Student Services</li> <li>Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - School Processes &amp; Programs 1</li> </ul>								
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews					
Strategy 3: Organize quarterly attendance recognition events to celebrate students with perfect or improved attendance.		Formative		Summative				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance will increase Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Attendance Secretary	Nov	Feb	Apr	June				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - School Processes & Programs 1								
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	·					

#### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

Demographics
Problem Statement 2: Our attendance rate was 94.4%, which is below our goal of 97%. Root Cause: Chronic absenteeism increased.
School Processes & Programs
Problem Statement 1: Our attendance rate was 94.4%, which is below our goal of 97%. Root Cause: Chronic absenteeism increased.

Goal 1: Maintain rigorous standards of achievement to prepare 21st century learners for graduation and post-secondary success.

Performance Objective 2: By May 2025, 60% of the graduates will achieve CCMR through dual credit classes.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CCMR local data tracker, Transcripts, Class enrollments

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews					
Strategy 1: Expand early dual credit course offerings by partnering with local community colleges to provide more options		Formative		Summative				
for students.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June				
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students will graduate with dual credit</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Counselor</li> <li>Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 2 - School Processes &amp; Programs 4</li> </ul>								
No Progress ONO Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue						

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics									
<b>Problem Statement 3</b> : Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. TSIA2 test.	Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the								
Student Learning									
<b>Problem Statement 2</b> : Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. TSIA2 test.	Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the								
School Processes & Programs									
<b>Problem Statement 4</b> : Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. TSIA2 test.	Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the								

Goal 1: Maintain rigorous standards of achievement to prepare 21st century learners for graduation and post-secondary success.

Performance Objective 3: By May 2025, 60% of the graduates will achieve CCMR through their TSIA2 scores.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CCMR local data tracker, Test results

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews					
Strategy 1: Incorporate TSIA2 test practice into individual students' schedules to familiarize students with the test format		Formative		Summative				
and question types.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More students will pass the TSIA2 test         Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Conselor         Problem Statements: Demographics 3 - Student Learning 2 - School Processes & Programs 4								
Image: Work of the second s	X Discor	tinue						

**Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:** 

	Demographics
<b>Problem Statement 3</b> : Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. TSIA2 test.	Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the
	Student Learning
<b>Problem Statement 2</b> : Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. TSIA2 test.	Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the
Sch	ool Processes & Programs
<b>Problem Statement 4</b> : Our CCMR graduation rate from 2021-22 was 72%. TSIA2 test.	Root Cause: There are limited opportunities for dual credit, and students are struggling with the

Goal 1: Maintain rigorous standards of achievement to prepare 21st century learners for graduation and post-secondary success.

#### Performance Objective 4: By May 2025, 30% of students will achieve the "masters" performance level on the EOC tests.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** EOC scores

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Identify students who are close to achieving the "masters" performance level and provide targeted instruction focusing on their specific gaps.         Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of students receiving "masters" on their EOC will increase		Formative		
		Feb	Apr	June
State       State <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

#### **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

Student Learning	
<b>Problem Statement 3</b> : At the "masters" performance level on the EOC tests, we were at or below the state level. subgroup for improvement.	Root Cause: We have not been focusing on this specific
School Processes & Programs	
<b>Problem Statement 3</b> : At the "masters" performance level on the EOC tests, we were at or below the state level. subgroup for improvement.	Root Cause: We have not been focusing on this specific

Goal 2: Ensure a safe and supportive environment conducive to teaching and learning for all students and staff.

Performance Objective 1: By May 2025, all students and staff will be familiar with safety procedures in various locations within the school.

Evaluation Data Sources: End-of-the-year survey, Drill log, Safety procedure guides

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Conduct classroom or school-wide discussions and Q&A sessions about safety procedures using different	Formative		Summative		
<ul> <li>scenarios to ensure students feel confident about how to respond in an emergency.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be more confident about what to do in an emergency</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Safety Coordinator, Teachers</li> <li>Problem Statements: School Processes &amp; Programs 6 - Perceptions 2</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 2: Conduct walkthroughs and drills using the Raptor app with students and staff to review safety procedures at	Formative			Summative	
<ul> <li>various locations and times, ensuring everyone knows the protocols.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be more confident about what to do in an emergency Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Safety Coordinator, Teachers</li> <li>Problem Statements: School Processes &amp; Programs 6 - Perceptions 2</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Image: Wow Regress with the second	X Discor	tinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs	
<b>Problem Statement 6</b> : Students do not feel like our safety drills cover all possible locations where they may be when an event happens. various times of the day.	Root Cause: Not conducting drills at
Perceptions	
<b>Problem Statement 2</b> : Students do not feel like our safety drills cover all possible locations where they may be when an event happens. various times of the day.	Root Cause: Not conducting drills at

Goal 2: Ensure a safe and supportive environment conducive to teaching and learning for all students and staff.

**Performance Objective 2:** By May 2025, high school students will receive education on various social and emotional learning (SEL) topics at least once a month.

Evaluation Data Sources: Calendar of topics, SEL lessons

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: High school students will research topics and create presentations, announcements, one-pagers, etc. to present	Formative Sum			Summative
to their peers.		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will gain information and resources on various SEL topics Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Teachers				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The Athletic Director will present SEL lessons to athletes.		Formative Sum		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will gain information and resources on various SEL topics Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Athletic Director	Nov Feb Apr			June
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	-

Goal 3: Engage parents, families, and community members in Holland ISD's events and programs and students' learning.

**Performance Objective 1:** By May 2025, 75% of high school parents/guardians/family members will participate in at least one academic school-sponsored on-campus opportunity.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign-in sheets, End-of-the-year surveys, Fliers

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Send out invitations to parents and advertise the events on social media and our district website.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will attend events		Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers; Principals; Director of Student Services; Technology Director					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide trainings on topics that parents suggested in our end-of-the-year survey.	Formative Sumn			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will attend events	Nov Feb Apr		Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Student Services					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		·	

Goal 4: Engage in transparent, timely communication with all stakeholders to build positive, supportive relationships.

**Performance Objective 1:** By May 2025, at least 93% of high school parents will strongly agree or agree on the end-of-the-year survey that communication with teachers and the campus was timely and helpful.

Evaluation Data Sources: End-of-the-year survey, Newsletters, Communication logs, Social media posts

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Utilize our updated website to ensure all school-related information, including announcements, schedules, and	Formative Su		Summative	
<ul> <li>updates, is easily accessible to parents.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide a location where all information can be found</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers, Director of Student Services, Technology Director</li> <li>Problem Statements: School Processes &amp; Programs 5 - Perceptions 1</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Establish a communication plan to coordinate the timing and content of messages from the district, campus, and	Formative Sur		Summative	
teachers. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will not feel overwhelmed with too much information at once Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers, Technology Director	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:** 

	School Processes & Programs
	Problem Statement 5: 70% of the parents reported timely and helpful communication from the teachers and campus. Root Cause: There is not one place to go and get all the information. Our district webpage needs to be redone. Game times often are changed with short notice.
	Perceptions
ſ	Problem Statement 1: 70% of the parents reported timely and helpful communication from the teachers and campus. Root Cause: There is not one place to go and get all the

information. Our district webpage needs to be redone. Game times often are changed with short notice.

**Goal 5:** Ensure that our instructional programs including but not limited to Title 1, Special Education, Dyslexia, Section 504, State Compensatory Education, English as a Second Language, Migrant Program, Career and Technical Education, and Gifted and Talented, meet all students' needs and meet program expectations.

**Performance Objective 1:** On the end-of-the-year survey, 85% of parents in special programs will agree or strongly agree that they understand the program's intent and that it meets their child's needs.

Evaluation Data Sources: End-of-the-year survey, Guidebook, Website screenshots

	Rev	views	
	Formative		
Nov	Feb	Apr	June
	Rev	views	
	Formative		
Nov	Feb	Apr	June
	Rev	views	
<b>Formative</b>			Summative
Nov	Feb	Apr	June
-	Nov	Formative Nov Feb Rev Formative Nov Feb Rev Formative Rev Formative Rev Formative	Nov     Feb     Apr       Nov     Feb     Apr       Reviews       Nov     Feb     Apr       Nov     Feb     Apr       Reviews     Reviews       Formative     Reviews

**Goal 5:** Ensure that our instructional programs including but not limited to Title 1, Special Education, Dyslexia, Section 504, State Compensatory Education, English as a Second Language, Migrant Program, Career and Technical Education, and Gifted and Talented, meet all students' needs and meet program expectations.

**Performance Objective 2:** By May 2025, teacher end-of-the-year surveys will indicate that 85% of the teachers strongly agree or agree that they had sufficient support and resources provided to them for effectively instructing EB students.

Evaluation Data Sources: End-of-the-year survey, Professional development logs/opportunities, Resource list

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide teachers with access to resources and materials specifically designed for EB students, such as Summit	Formative		Summative	
<ul> <li>K12, Non-Visual Glossary, and translators.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have more resources to use when teaching EB students</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Student Services, Teachers</li> <li>Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes &amp; Programs 2</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Offer professional development that addresses educating EB students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have more resources to use when teaching EB students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Student Services, Principal, Teachers	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

#### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

Demographics
Problem Statement 1: Our teachers and EB parents need more support. Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the States
Student Learning
Problem Statement 1: Our teachers and EB parents need more support. Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the States

#### **School Processes & Programs**

Problem Statement 2: Our teachers and EB parents need more support. Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the States

Goal 5: Ensure that our instructional programs including but not limited to Title 1, Special Education, Dyslexia, Section 504, State Compensatory Education, English as a Second Language, Migrant Program, Career and Technical Education, and Gifted and Talented, meet all students' needs and meet program expectations.

Performance Objective 3: By May 2025, 85% of EB parents will engage in EB programs and support offered.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign-in sheets, End-of-the-year survey, Fliers

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Conduct, at minimum, 3 EB parent nights to discuss parent, family, and community engagement.	Formative			Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Parents will be better informed about their child's education and the services/ programs that are available to students and families.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Student Services				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 2				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Send out a quarterly newsletter to EB parents.	Formative Summative			
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Parents will be better informed about their child's education and the services/ programs that are available to students and families.		Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Student Services				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		•

#### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

Demographics			
<b>Problem Statement 1</b> : Our teachers and EB parents need more support.	Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the	ne States	
	Student Learning		
<b>Problem Statement 1</b> : Our teachers and EB parents need more support.	Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the	ne States	
	School Processes & Programs		
<b>Problem Statement 2</b> : Our teachers and EB parents need more support.	Root Cause: Our EB population is growing, especially with students new to the	ne States	
Holland High School	36 of 37	Campus #014905001	

# **Policies, Procedures, and Requirements**

The following policies, procedures, and requirements are addressed in the District Improvement Plan. District addressed Policies, Procedures, and Requirements will print with the Improvement Plan:

Title	Person Responsible	<b>Review Date</b>	Addressed By	Addressed On
Bullying Prevention	Shane Downing		Lori Kinard	10/9/2024
Decision-Making and Planning Policy Evaluation			Lori Kinard	10/10/2024
Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)	Shane Downing		Lori Kinard	10/9/2024
Pregnancy Related Services			Lori Kinard	10/10/2024
Job Description for Peace Officers, Resource Officers & Security Personnel	Shane Downing		Lori Kinard	10/9/2024